

## Scoring Criteria and Weightings

1.Compliance Checking		
1.1	Application received on time?	Yes / No
1.2	Application complete and in the requested format?	Yes / No
1.3	Have all proposed members of the community group (as set out in the community group charter) been consulted on and support the funding application?	Yes/No
<p><b>Scoring Criteria:</b>            PASS – Application is compliant, or Applicant has corrected any obvious error in their application after clarification.            REJECTED – Application is not compliant, including any clarification measures.</p>		

<p><b>2. Planned community group outputs or outcomes requiring funding, and approach to achieving these</b></p> <p><b>Scoring Criteria:</b>            0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement            3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement, causes major concern about the credibility of approach            7 – Response is good, addressing the requirement and causing only minor concerns about the credibility of approach            10 – Excellent response detailing a credible approach to meeting the requirements.</p> <p><b>Weighting:</b>            50%</p>
--

<p><b>3. Community group capability and capacity to deliver</b></p> <p><b>Scoring Criteria:</b>            0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement            3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement, causes major concern about the expertise and relevance of the track record against the requirements.            7 – Response is good, addressing the requirement and causing only minor concerns about the expertise and relevance of the track record against the requirements.            10 – Excellent response proving the breadth of expertise in successfully delivering against the requirements.</p> <p><b>Weighting:</b>            20%</p>
---

<p><b>4. Project plan</b></p> <p><b>Scoring Criteria:</b>            0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement            3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement, causes major concern about the proposed project plan.            7 – Response is good, addressing the requirement and causing only minor concerns about the proposed project plan.</p>
--

10 – Excellent response where proposed project plan fully meets requirements.

**Weighting:**

10%

### 5. Resources and cost justification

**Scoring Criteria:**

0 – Response incomplete or does not substantively address the requirement

3 – Response poor and whilst addresses the requirement the commercial offer is vague or lacking detail and requires significant clarification.

7 – Response is good and the commercial offer is reasonably detailed and transparent, or requiring little clarification, but lacks clear VFM assurance.

10 – Excellent response which provides detailed, line-item pricing, fully transparent assumptions, clearly exclusive of VAT, and describing any charitable or public sector discounts applied or alternative VFM aspects of the commercial offer.

**Weighting:**

20%

**Please note: the fundable threshold score for funding applications is 7, proposals with an overall score less than 7 will not be eligible for funding.**